



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

WAGNER MURABITO & HAO LLP  
TWO NORTH MARKET STREET, THIRD FLOOR  
SAN JOSE, CA 95113

**COPY MAILED**

**SEP 23 2005**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:	
Randall Dale Ristau et al	:	
Application No. 10/672,354	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: September 25, 2003	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)
Attorney Docket No. TRMB 1398	:	

This is a decision on the petition, filed August 19, 2005, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant nonprovisional application for failure to timely notify the U.S. Patent and Trademark (USPTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing. See 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in an eighteen months publication country on September 23, 2004. However, the USPTO was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in an eighteen months publication country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition to revive an application abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) for failure to notify the USPTO of a foreign filing must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;
- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.


The instant petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(b). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the USPTO of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of

such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. § 122 (b) (2) (B) (i) has been rescinded. A Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request which sets forth the projected publication date of January 5, 2006 accompanies this decision on petition.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Irvin Dingle at (571) 272-3210.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center AU 2857 for examination in due course.

  
Irvin Dingle  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

Attachment: Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request